



AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
(A Miniratna - Category -1 Public Sector Enterprise)

भाविप्रा/सीएनएस-ओएम/आरटीआई एक्ट-2005/सीपीआईओ/2026/201

दिनांक : 07/07/2026

सेवा में,
सुश्री
दिल्ली

To,
Ms.
Delhi

ई-मेल/ Email: ankita11@protonmail.com

विषय: सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के अंतर्गत मांगी गयी सूचना
Sub: Information sought under RTI Act-2005

महोदया/ महोदय

Madam/Sir,

कृपया अपने आरटीआई आवेदन पंजीकरण संख्या AAOIN/R/T/26/00265 दिनांक 08/06/2026 का संदर्भ लें जो इस कार्यालय में 08/06/2026 को प्राप्त हुआ। इस संबंध में, यह सूचित किया जाता है कि उपलब्ध रिकॉर्ड के अनुसार, निम्नलिखित जानकारी प्रदान की जाती है: -

Reference may please be made to your RTI application reg. no. AAOIN/R/T/26/00265 dated 08/06/2026, received in this office on 08/06/2026. In this regard, it is hereby intimated that as per available record, following information is provided: -

Sl. No.	Query	Response
Reference to CNS new training policy with letter no: NM-15028(22)/16/2025-CNS-O&M/272-285		
1.	How many employees are there who are not rated in group 2 equipments but are manned to work on group 2 equipments. Please provide station-wise, region-wise, and category-wise data of ATSEPs currently working on Group 2 equipment without having Group 2 training/rating, as on the date of reply.	No such recorded information available with the directorate of CNS-OM.
2.	Does untrained professionals working on group 2 equipment pose any safety hazard?	CPIO is not supposed to interpret or create information or to answer hypothetical questions or solve problems raised by the applicant. CPIO is only required to provide information as available.
3.	Who will bear the liability for the incident in case where an untrained professional was working on group 2 equipment? Whether the liability falls on the untrained professional or the unit in charge or the general manager of the station or the policy maker or the chairman of AAI or the Ministry of Civil Aviation, who will be liable in such case?	
4.	Has the policy maker taken any step to put manpower in alignment with the new policy changes, so that the accountability is not diffused in the case of incident.	
5.	Please provide a copy of the complete policy, circulars, SOPs, office orders, technical instructions, or internal approvals under which the ATSEP training modules were segregated into Group 1 and Group 2.	In accordance with Clause 7(9) of RTI Act 2005, 'An information shall ordinarily be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority or would be detrimental to the safety or preservation of the record in question.'
6.	Please provide the file noting, approval note, minutes of meetings, and competent authority approval by which this Group 1 / Group 2 segregation was decided.	In accordance with DoPT O.M. No. /7/2009-IR dated 1st June, 2009, "The definition of information cannot include
7.	Please provide the specific rationale and safety justification for requiring completion of four Group 1 trainings before an ATSEP becomes eligible for Group 2 training.	

		within its fold answers to the question "why" which would be same thing as asking the reason or a justification for a particular thing...."
8.	Please provide copies of any ICAO, DGCA, or other regulatory references relied upon while framing this policy.	No specific information sought.
9.	Please clarify whether this training policy has been reviewed for compliance with ICAO standards, DGCA requirements, or any other applicable aviation safety framework. If yes, please provide the review report, correspondence, or compliance assessment.	CPIO is not supposed to interpret or create information or to answer hypothetical questions or solve problems raised by the applicant. CPIO is only required to provide information as available.
10.	Please clarify whether this training policy has been reviewed for compliance with ICAO standards, DGCA requirements, or any other applicable aviation safety framework. If yes, please provide the review report, correspondence, or compliance assessment.	
11.	Please provide copies of any safety risk assessment, hazard analysis, or operational risk study conducted before implementing this policy.	No specific information sought.
12.	Please provide copies of any document showing whether AAI assessed if this policy could create safety hazards, operational risk, or increased accident likelihood due to delayed Group 2 training.	
13.	Please provide copies of any liability matrix, responsibility assignment, accountability chart, or incident accountability framework stating who is responsible if an incident or accident occurs while an employee without Group 2 rating works on Group 2 equipment.	
14.	Please provide copies of any disciplinary, administrative, safety, or legal provisions applicable if an untrained or not-yet-rated professional is deployed on Group 2 equipment.	

यदि आवेदक उपरोक्त जवाब से संतुष्ट न हो तो वे इस पत्र की प्राप्ति के 30 दिनों के अंदर आरटीआई अधिनियम 2005 की धारा-19(1) के तहत प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी, श्री एस. के. मलिक, कार्यपालक निदेशक, (सी.एन.एस.- ओ.एम.), राजीव गांधी भवन, नई दिल्ली-110003 को अपनी अपील भेज सकते हैं।

If the applicant is not satisfied with the above reply, he/ she has an option to prefer an appeal against the information provided within 30 days to First Appellate Authority Sh. S.K. Mallick, Executive Director (CNS-O&M), Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi-110003 under section-19(1) of RTI act 2005.

भवदीय


(रवीन्द्र सरदार/ Ravindra Sardar)
सीपीआईओ/ महाप्रबन्धक (सीएनएस)
CPIO/ GM(CNS)