



AAI/ATM/HRD/29-165(31)/2017/

DATED:- 30-11-2017

To,
Sh. K PANKAJ
R 04, ALPINGLO APARTMENT BEGUMPET, HYDERABAD
ANDHRA PRADESH

Subject: - Information sought under the RTI Act, 2005

Sir,

- Please refer to your RTI application no 51665 dated 23-11-2017 received in this office on 28-11-2017 on the subject cited above.

The reply to you queries are given below:-

S.NO	QUERY	REPLY
1.	Under which clause or rule, the atco posted at catc are not nominated for rcap course but the atco posted at gondia and htc (both are part of catc) and other non-surveillance stations are nominated in rcap course. However the atcos posted at catc were always considered for rcap course in the past.	Interpretation of information is not under the purview of RTI Act, 2005. Information can only be provided in "as is where is" basis. RCAP nominations are being done based on guidelines mentioned in Chapter-4 of MANUAL OF STATION LEVEL TRAINING AND RATING. The link for the document is given below: www.aai.aero/en/system/files/resources/MSI/TAR Part 1-2017-15Aug2017-V20 Amd 1 Corr 1.pdf Interpretation of information is not under the purview of RTI Act, 2005. Information can only be provided in "as is where is" basis.
2.	Under which clause (i) valid rating or (ii) without valid rating, the atco posted at catc can perform instructional duty.	Refer MANUAL OF ATS PERSONNEL RATINGS AND CERTIFICATION PART 3 - Air Traffic Services Training Organisation (ATSTO) Chapter 4 regarding Instructors at CATC. The link for the document is given below: https://www.aai.aero/en/system/files/resources/MARC Part 3 First Ed 06 Jun 2017.pdf

However, if you are not satisfied with the reply information furnished by the CPIO, you have the option to prefer an appeal within 30 days to the Appellate Authority i.e. Executive Director (ATM), Airports Authority of India, Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan, New Delhi-110003 under section 19(1) of RTI Act 2005.

(A.P. GAJBE) 30-11-2017

GM (ATM-HRD)/CPIO

Page 1 of 1

ess-ol

30-11-17